HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

W.P.NO. 27396 OF 2006

DATED: 2.1.2007

Between:

Sarsam Mohana Reddy

… Petitioner

and

The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments,
Ranga Reddy District and others

… Respondents

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

W.P.NO.27396 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER:

The writ petition is misconceived.

It is filed seeking a declaration that "the auction notification dt. Nil issued by the 3rd respondent without mentioning the name of the village Majidpur along with the name of the Ghanpur, as being arbitrary, illegal, violative of Art.14 of the Constitution of India and also contrary to the order of this Hon'ble Court dt.9.12.2004 made in WPMP No.9874/2004 in W.P.No.22758 of 2004 and set aside the same and consequently direct the respondents to allow the villagers of Majidpur village, Shamirpet Mandal, R.R. District to participate in the Jaatara to be held from 14.1.2007 to 16.1.2007 and auction to be held on 3.1.2007 on par with the villagers of Ghanapur village, Medchal Mandal, R.R. District."

The substantive grievance of the petitioner is not understood. It is not clear whether the petitioner wants to participate in the auctions to be conducted by the 3rd respondent-Devasthanam for grant of lease/licence for running a cycle stand and for sale of coconuts or

whether the petitioner wants to participate in the Sankranthi Jathara festival to be held during the ensuing Sankranthi. In any event, on the basis of any of the documents filed along with the writ petition, it does not appear that the respondents, including the 3rd respondent have issued orders, notifications or circulars preventing any person from either participating in the auctions and bidding for grant of leases/licences for running a cycle stand and for sale of coconuts or for participating in the Sankranthi Jathara festival. In the absence of any such restrictions imposed, all persons desirous of participating in the auctions could participate and all persons desirous of participating in the festivities could participate, as devotees.

The writ petition is misconceived. It is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

GODA RAGHURAM, J

Date: 2.1.2007
CVM
Note: CC BY TOMORROW